Still more about Government Acquisition of Large Landed Estates

EDITOR'S Note:—In the February issue of this Journal we published, in the form of an article, a letter from Mr. Zoilo Castrillo, Administrative Officer of the Bureau of Lands, regarding the government purchase of the old Friar Lands during the administration of Governor-General William H. Taft and subsequent purchases of large landed estates by the Rural Progress Administration.

In the April issue we published additional information concerning the Rural Progress Administration purchases, embodied in a table. We found certain discrepancies between the figures in this table and those of the table previously published, but failing to receive a reply to a query as to this and considering the table most interesting, we printed it as it stood.

Besides calling attention to the discrepancies in the figures, the editor also asked for additional information about the Rural Progress Administration, saying:

"I am aware of the fact that the Rural Progress Administration was abolished by Executive Order No. 376, November 28, 1950, and its assets, functions, etc., transferred to the Bureau of Lands. Would you inform me when the Rural Progress Administration was originally set up? It would be interesting to know about what year the Administration made its first purchases of land, and when its last, to give some idea of the number of years covered by its purchasing activities."

We now have a letter from the Director of Lands, Jose P. Dans, stating that the Rural Progress Administration was formally organized only on March 2, 1939, though the first purchase of land by the Government was made several months earlier, in 1938, this land later being transferred to the Rural Progress Administration. The last purchase by the Administration was effected in 1950, so that the time covered by these purchases extends over a period of approximately ten years only, from which the three years of the Japanese occupation should, of course, be deducted. This makes the conclusions to be drawn from the rather limited achievements of the Rural Progress Administration not so unfavorable as they would be had the Administration been at work ever since the Friar Lands purchases away back in 1902.

We take pleasure in printing the letter from Director Dans herewith, together with the revised table mentioned in the letter.

"Youn letter dated March 13, 1951, has been referred to this Office by Mr. Francisco D. Marquez, Administrative Officer of the Deptiment of the Property of the Marquez, and the Section of the Section o

in the areas of some of the estates. When the first list was prepared, the records of the Rural Progress Administration were still in the process of transfer to this Office and no check-up of the figures could be made at that time. After the transfer of the said records and the creation of the Landed Estates Division in this Bureau, the areas of the various estates and homesites were revised after examining the various certificates of title and other pertinent records available. Enclosed herewith is a revised list of the estates acquired so far, showing their respective areas and locations.

The Rural Progress Administration was formally organized on March 2, 1939. The first purchase of land was made by the Government on December 29, 1938, when the Maritina Homeste was bought of the Landed Estates Survey Committee. The post accommendation of the Landed Estates Survey Committee. The Committee of the Landed Estates Survey Competence of the Survey Competence

"Very respectfully yours,
"Jose P. Dans
"Director of Lands"

ESTATES ACQUIRED BY THE DEFUNCT RURAL PROGRESS ADMINISTRATION NOW THE LANDED ESTATES DIVISION BURBALLOF LANDED

ESTATES	Area in sq. m.	Location	Subdivision
Santa Clara Homesite			
(Old)	50,533.8	Sampaloc, Manila	Yes
site (New)	2.441.2	Sampaloc, Manile	Yes
Ana Sarmiento Homesite	206,022.8	Malate, Manila	Partially
Ntra. Sra. De Guia Home-		M	
"Ang Buhay" Homesite	. 348,470.9 124,173	Tondo, Manila Sta. Mesa, Manila	In progress Yes
Baclaran Homesite	266.887	Parañaque, Rizal	Partially
La Faja Del Mar Homesite	21,998	Parañaque, Rizal	Yes
Tambobong Homesite		Melabon, Rizal	Partially
Marikina Homesite		Melebon, Rizal Marikine, Rizal	No Yes
Pateros Homesite	13,028	Pateros, Rizal	Yes
Protacio Homesite	26,156	Rizal City	Yes
Maypajo Homesite	25,839	Caloocan, Rizal	No
Buenavista	274,082,692	San Ildefonso, San Rafael, Bustos,	
		and Baliuag, Bu-	
		lacan	No
Penginay	953,643	Bigas, Bulacan	Yes Yes
Polo Parish		Polo, Bulacan Bocaue, Bulacan	Yes
San Isidro Homesite	24,969	Hagonoy, Bulacan	Yen
Dinalupihan Homesite	996,261	Dinalupihan, Batean	Yes
Dinelupihan Agricultural	40,359,781	Dinalupihan, Batean	In progress
Lian Homesite	322,162 37,010,699	Lien, Betanges Lien, Batanges	Partially In progress
Tunesan Homesite		San Pedro, Laguna	No
Calauan	8,688,029	Alaminos and Cala-	
	21.006.094	uan, Laguna Candaba, Pampanga	Yes No
Mahalacat Homesite		Mabalacet. Pam-	140
Manage Montes		penga	Yes
Sta. Meria	3,586,575	Ste. Ana, Pem-	No
	33.098.182	pange Tarlec, Tarlec	No Yes
Luisite		San Felipe, Zam-	
	,	bales	Yes
Roales	3,450,000	Bongo Island, Cota-	*- !
		bato	In 'progress

HERE can be no genuine stability in any system which is based on the evil and weakness in man's nature,—which attempts to live by man's degradation, feeding like a vulture on his anxieties, his capacity for hatred, his susceptibility to error, and his vulnerability to psychological manipulation. Such a system can represent no more than the particular frustrations and bitterness of the generation of men who created it, and the cold terror of those who have been weak or unwise enough to become its agents."—George F. Kennan in the April Foreign Affairs.