Discretionary Powers Of Municipal Councils

[Sec. 2243, Adm. Code]

The municipal council shall have authority to exercise the following discretionary powers:

- (a) To suspend or remove for cause, officers or employees appointed by the mayor, two-thirds of all the members of the council concurring. (See Commonwealth Act 177)
- (b) To make provisions for the care of the poor, the sick, or persons of unsound mind.
- (c) To establish fire limits in populous centers, prescribe the kinds of buildings that may be constructed or repaired within them, and issue permits for the erection or repair thereof, charging a fee which shall be determined by the municipal council and which shall not be less than two pesos for each building permit and one peso for each repair permit issued. The fees collected under the provisions of this subsection shall accrue to the municipal school fund.
- (d) To provide for the numbering of houses and lots, the naming of streets, avenues, and other public places and, subject to the approval of the Secretary of the Interior, the changing of the names thereof; and for the lighting of streets, and the sprinkling of the same.
- (e) To establish and maintain municipal roads, streets, alleys, sidewalks, plazas, parks, playgrounds, levees, and canals.
- (f) To supply a suitable building for a post office and provide for the collection and delivery of mail, when it is impracticable for the Bureau of Posts to make provision for these matters.
- (g) To regulate the keeping of dogs, and authorize the killing or impounding of the same when found at large contrary to ordinance.
- (h) To require the owners of sheep, goats, swine, or large cattle to keep such animals from moving, running, or being at large except when in charge of some person of sufficient discretion.

(i) To regulate cockpits, cockfighting, and keeping or training of fighting cocks, or prohibit either.

(j) To regulate garages and stables and the keeping of carriages, carts, and other conveyances for hire; and to designate stands to be occupied by public vehicles when not in use.

(k) To regulate cafés, restaurants, hotels, inns, and lodging houses.

- (1) To regulate or prohibit public dancing schools, public dance halls, and horse races.
- (m) To regulate public billiard tables, or billiard rooms, theatrical performances, and circuses.
- (n) To regulate the establishment and provide for the inspection of steam boilers within the municipality.

(o) To regulate the use of water courses within the municipality.

- (p) To provide for the impounding of animals found at large contrary to law or ordinance and for the sale of such animals in satisfaction of poundage fees or any penalty incurred and cost of proceedings or for such other disposition thereof as may be sanctioned by law.
- (q) To regulate any business or occupation subject to a municipal license tax and to prescribe the conditions under which municipal licenses may be revoked.
- (r) To regulate and fix the license fees for signs, signboards, and bill-boards displayed or maintained in any place exposed to public view except those displayed at the place or places where the professions or business advertised thereby is in whole or part conducted.
- (s) To enact an ordinance empowering the mayor to grant, subject to the requirements of the service, to any appointed municipal officer or employee, including the secretary, twelve days' vacation leave of absence with full pay, which must be taken during the year in which earned: Provided, That such vacation leave of absence shall only be

PURPOSE OF COMPETITIVE BIDDING

Sirs: This is with reference to your Resolutions Nos. 60 and 111, series of 1940, requesting my opinion as to whether the municipal council can condone contractual obligation of private persons to the municipality arising from the lease of fishery privilege. It is the policy of this office not to answer broad questions but only those actually pending; and, as appears from the within papers, the only query pending is as above stated.

Neither the Municipal Law nor the Fisheries Act contains any express provision on condonation. However, section 69 of Act No. 4003 as amended by Commonwealth Act No. 471 declares that a fishery privilege "shall be let to the highest bidder."

The requirement of competitive bidding is for the purpose of inviting competition and to guard against favorit-18m, fraud and curruption in the letting of fishery privileges (See 3 Mc-Quillin, Mun. Corp., 2nd ed. p. 858; Harles Gaslight Co. v. New York, 33 N. Y. 309; 2 Dillon, Mun. Corp., p. 1219). On the principle that the terms and purpose of the governing statutes constitutes in themselves a restriction on the power to amend and alter a contract, once made in accordance therewith (Morse v. City of Boston, 148 N. E. 813. 253 Mass. 247, Capital City Brick & Pipe Co. v. City of Des Moines, 127 N. W. 66, 68; Hedge v. Des Moines, 119 N. W. 276), a municipal council may not remit the rent of fishery privilege granted through competitive bidding in the absence of an express provision so authorizing. Otherwise, the council may connive with a favored

Discretionary Power...

granted after at least one year of continuous, faithful, and satisfactory service. (See C. A. 490).

(t) To levy an annual privilege tax upon carts and sledges used upon any public road in a municipality, in accordance with section twenty-three hundred and thirteen.

bidder, who gladly makes the highest and best bid on the assurance that his obligations thereunder will be subsequently remitted. This is precisely one of the evils sought to be remedied by the law requiring competitive bidding. "It would be a vain thing for the legislature to establish these safeguards for the public treasury to govern initial execution of a contract and yet to permit all the evils thus prohibited to be accomplished by amendment or alteration of such a contract when once executed (Morse v. Boston, supra).

Furthermore, the condonation of an existing obligation by a municipality is tantamount to squandering or giving away its funds or property which a municipal council cannot do (Sec. 2288, Rev. Adm. Code). In Ludlow Valve Mfg. Co. v. City of Chicago, 181 III. App. 388, the court, in holding that the city council of Chicago had no power to waive the liquidated damages due on account of delay in delivering the work contracted for as agreed upon the original contract, said:

"The (city council) have no power to squander or give away the funds or property in their control, belonging to the corporation; they must be honestly applied to the use and purposes specified in the act of incorporation. The city council have no power to sell, or in any manner dispose of, the property of the corporation without consideration, and, in our opinion, have no right to discharge a debt without payment, which may be held against parties who are solvent and responsible, where no controversy exists in regard to the validity and binding effect of the indebtedness.

In view thereof, I am of the opinion that a municipal council cannot condone contractual obligations of private persons to the municipality arising from the lease of fishery privilege."—Letter dated October 4, 1940 of Sec. of Justice Jose A. Santos to the Municipal Council of San Jose, Antique, being Opinion No. 240, Series of 1940.

Page 272

THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT REVIEW