



ni LADISLAO BELLA SUBANG

Inutin Man Ay May Puwang
Din Sa Alinhawang Lipunan

IKINAGALAK NG mga magsasaka sa bawat ang utos kamarakan ng Presidente sa Court of Agrarian Relation, na idaos sa mga baryo ang pagding at paghilitis sa mga magsasakang nasasakdal dahan sa lupa. Ang layon ng liderato ay mapabilis ang paggawad ng katarungan at mabawasan pati ang mga alalahanin ng pamilihi na nasaakal. Laing napakalibutan niya ang aksyon ng rekumendasyon ng Free Farmers na pagkabobtotan ng tunay na Kalayaan at mga magsasakang Pilipino, pati ang pagtitigilag sa mga humig ng CAR na nakaipigilapan sa mga may-ari ng lupa sa kapinsalaan ng mga magsasaka. Sa hibakang na ito ni Presidente Marcos ay isang katorwan ng mga magsasaka ng lahat, na talagang kabibigan ng mga magsasaka at mangangawa at ngunit ng Republika ng Pilipinas. Ngayon, ang tanging hinintay ng Presidente ay tulong ng publiko sa lahat ng programa nito sa kasyuan, katalhiman at pag-pauapalan ng ekonomiya, baluna ang tulong nging kabataan.

SIM BULO at inspirasyon ng mga kababahang Filipino ni First Lady, Gingana Imelda Romualdez-Marcos. Simbilo rin siya ng lahiang kayumanggi sa bagong panahon. Ito ang bigay-katuruan ni Luis C. Diez, isang matataw na opisyal ng Second Bulacan Development Bank sa Meycauayan, sa larawan at katauhan ng First Lady, base sa tinjukulan na mase-selang misyon sa ibang bansa, at bilang espesyal na sugo ng Presidente, na naipagtugupyan na lahat. Sa lokal na pagasakat, ang mga kilusang pangkawanggawa, mga kilusang bayan na laging ang masang natagamatan ng mga bunga, ay isang tagupyan na maiwasana sa istoriya ng Pilipinas. "Ngayong tanggap niya ang pagiging gobernador ng Metro Manila,"

sabi ni Ginoong Diez, "ay tinitiyak ko, na ang pinagsakitan ng mararaming naugdaang chekuitibo ng mga siyudad at bayang saklaw ng Metro Manila, ay magkakaroon ng kaganapan. Sa pagkakataong ito ay maungungusap ang kahuhugan ng bayanihan o pagtutulungan."

Isang malungkot na rebelyon ang aking tinanggap na kamakalan. Na, masarili sa mga magsasaka sa Northern at Central Luzon na nakuautang sa programa ng Massang '99, ang pagkakataong ito ay naging Gobyerno dahil sa hindi pagbaboyad kahit manaraming toon na ang ngadaran. Narito ang isang angulo ng katotohanan sa pagkakataong ng mga magsasaka. Ang iba ay hindi magsasaka, ngunit hindi nakuautang, magesasakang nakuautang, ngunit hindi nakuabeyad . . . at ang iba ay dahilan sa "Lucky 9", isang uri ng sugal na sinasabing siyahan bumikita sa mga magsasaka. Ito ang isang "tip" na kailangan gawing angulo sa muling pagisaysay ng mga maykapangkaryahan sa mga hindi nakuabeyad na magsasaka. Ngunit kailangan gawing angulo na hindi pagbabayad ay ang hindi pag-aani sa kagagawan ng begyo at baha, bigyan pa sila ng maraming pagkakaeson. At sa mga nagpabaya

naman, kailangan ay ibilanggo at bawian ng karapatan sa pagmamay-ari o pamunuan ng lupa.

ISANG uri ng sakit ang nagiging tatak ng mga nagiging bilanggo . . . sakit na pinindirithan at asaw pagtibaalan. Ngunit sila'to rin katalud na nagnakakasala at nagsisisi pagkatapos. Isang ekspersto sa kriminalidad, si Komisyonado Teodoro C. Natividad ng National Police Commission, ang nagasabi sa akin ng ganito: "Dapat na tulungan sa pagbabagumbuhay ang mga naging bilanggo." Tama. Karamihan sa kanila ay may parinya na dapat na buhayin. Ngunit dahilan sa naging bilanggo sila ay walang ibig na magbigay ng trabaho, ngunit kontinente ng marangay. Napapanahon ngayon dapat na reprobahan ang ugiling ito Sinaunahan. Kailangan ang kanilang pagbabaguhagahan at pagtitigil ay hindi natin kinilala. Pinahalagahan at tinanggap, ang iba sa sebinhin ay tumulok na din sa pagbabaguhagahan magsama uli. At kapag naghaguyon, ang pagpapalit ni Presidente Marcos sa pagpapalit ng kasuyan at katalimpan sa lahat ng dako ng bansa ay hindi matupad. Maituturing pa ring tayo'y kalaban ng mga napapakabuti. Alalahanin natin si Maria Magdalena. Bakit siya naging Santa?

The truth behind the purge

by TEODORO F. VALENCIA

THAT COMPUTER which was supposed to say who were to be dismissed or forced into retirement in the on-going government cleanup failed. The computer, after all, is just a processing factory.

You get what you feed into the robot thinker. It does what it is told; it adds, subtracts and divide perfectly. You feed it garbage, and you get garbage even if the product is dead.

Now, we're looking for scapegoats.

The people who were entrusted the job of implementing the President's LOI 309 to rid the government of corrupt and undesirable employees goofed.

The error started with the lists prepared by heads of offices. This, in turn, we attributed to a communications gap. They did not know by what standards they were to go in the preparation of those lists.

Charges were lumped regardless of their nature and motivations. The computer, as we know, cannot evaluate.

Not all government personnel who had been previously charged or are facing charges deserve to be dismissed. Some such employees are among the best—that's why they are charged.

Minor charges, no matter how many, do not call for dismissals. Many charges are without basis, or even if true, do not constitute misconduct.

You all know that often, when an employee is exonerated, he is commended by the investigating panel. The people who fed the computers made no distinctions among light charges, heavy charges, charges generated by active pursuit of duties, etc., etc.

Many agencies were reluctant to submit names of employees with pending charges, but they were told to do so; mechanically obeying, they lumped all those with charges.

The instructions were explicit that the names of these government personnel were to be included—the form was prepared for them just to fill.

Many who submitted names tried to categorize charges saying, for instance, that the employees had already been exonerated of those charges. But the computer, which did the rest of the job, was not programmed to take these factors into consideration. The lists, therefore, turned out to be completely mechanical.

The names of officials and employees purged did not all come from the official lists required in LOI 309. Many names were supplied via telephone, via anonymous letters and telegrams.

To fit the operations of the computer, a points system was adopted to evaluate and give relative

weight to various sources. Thus, an actual administrative charge was given 10 points, a telephone call was given five points, a telegram was given four points and an anonymous letter 2.5 points, etc.

After an employee accumulated a certain fixed number of points, he was earmarked for "slaughter." Now, the victims know how they were decapitated.

The names announced by President Marcos at the Quirino Grandstand Sept. 19, 1975, came from that computer. Necessary, there were spectacular hits and spectacular misses.

They could have done better if they had not relied on the computer. But they did this to make it appear impersonal. So nobody was hurt.

But the end-result was worse—too many innocent people were slaughtered, in a manner of speaking.

Since that dramatic announcement of Sept. 19, 1975, many who were among those "purged" have returned to their old jobs. They were innocent from the start.

The effect has been negative for the President—it now appears that they are being returned surreptitiously when, as a matter of fact, they are being vindicated. No announcement is being made to hide the original mistake. The effect is far from what had been anticipated.

We've learned a lot from the mistakes of that internal computer. One, that the computer can't really think—can't evaluate and is incapable of an opinion; two, that while the universal clamor is for weeding out the bad eggs in government, people will not stand for injustice.

The strong public opinion against the manner the computer worked was fanned by the scattered examples (all over the country) of men of integrity and honesty who were included among those fired for graft, corruption or dishonesty.

A few mistakes negated the overall plan. The government cleanup drive has been slowed down.

We learned, too, that some people who are doing their jobs with courage are the ones who get the most number of anonymous denunciations, ugly rumors, administrative charges.

Even the bosses of the upright employees often don't like them, specially if these bosses are crooked. If such employees were ever included among those fed to the computer for evaluation, they would be the first to go.

That computer fiasco was unfortunate but some good happened because of it. Now, we shall be more careful. Now, we will no longer entrust to a robot the job of evaluating public service efficiency and honesty.

—Larry Alcala

THE REPUBLIC Isang Bansang, Isang Diwa

Board of Editors

Chairman: Francisco S. Tatad; Vice-Chairman: Reuben R. Canoy; Members: Lorenzo J. Cruz, Florentino S. Dauz

Managing Editor: Luis V. Ople; Editorial Staff: Juana G. Trinidad, Marco D. Agayo, Gloria Jane Baylon, Rosalinda G. Roxas

Art Director: Micaela C. Almendral; Art Consultant: Larry Z. Alcala; Photo Editor: Wilfredo G. Avila; Production Manager: Marcelino N. Palisoc; Production Assistant: Cesar B. Tablas

THE REPUBLIC is published fortnightly by the Department of Public Information, Malacañang, Manila, for government managers. The Editors welcome contributions of manuscripts and photographs which should be sent to THE REPUBLIC, Tuason-Gonzalez Bldg., Intramuros, Manila. While they will take reasonable care, the Editors assume no responsibility for the return of unsolicited manuscripts. Entered as a second-class mail matter on March 5, 1973 at the Manila Central Post Office.

Not So Funny

