the World Federation of United Nations Associations also had an observer at the conference. Austria sent two "distinguished visitors".

This roster alone indicates how the ECAFE has grown and how greatly the interest in the trade of this region has increased. As Secretary Balmaceda said in his closing statement, the Conference stands out as one of the most widely attended conferences ever held for the promotion of international commerce.

The Conference took up four main subjects: (1) Marketing research as an aid to trade, and (2) Methods of improving trade promotion machinery, both subjects being assigned to a committee called Committee A; (3) Methods of increasing exports, taken up by Committee B, and (4) Import needs and export availabilities, taken up by Committee C. Though these three separate committees were set up, all delegates and observers were invited to send representatives to the committee meetings.

Since the closing date of the Conference was so near to the "copy dead-line" for the February issue of this Journal, it is not possible now even to summarize the conclusions and recommendations of these various committees, but we hope to do so in the April issue.

We join Secretary Balmaceda in saying that the Conference was a most successful one and that it "fully achieved its announced objective of giving the participating nations an opportunity for a full discussion and free exchange of views on the problems of international trade." We reported, in the October, 1952, issue of the Journal that Mr. Allison J. Gibbs, Manila attorney, had informed

War Claims Commission Recommends Compensation for Sequestered Bank Accounts us that, with respect to the sequestration of the banks accounts and other credits of American nationals

and firms in the Philippines by the Japanese during the enemy occupation, Mr. A. S. Hyman, General Counsel of the U. S. War Claims Commission, had recommended the inclusion of a statement in the Commission's recommendations to the United States Congress that these nationals and firms should be compensated for their losses.

Mr. Gibbs has now informed us that the Supplementary Report of the Commission, just received in Manila, does contain a recommendation to this effect. A typewritten copy of the statement of the Commission on the subject runs to 9 pages and was received too late for publication in this issue of the Journal. In a letter accompanying the excerpt, Mr. Gibbs wrote:

"You will recall that the Chamber aponsored the efforts made by this (Mr. Gibbar) office to approach the War Claims Commission on this subject with a view to eventually securing remedial U. S. Congressional legislation. I consider the enclosed recommendation of the War Claims Commission as the first major step toward the successful prosecution of its effort.

"Now that the War Claims Commission has submitted its favorable recommendation, every effort should be made by the different claimants to contact their respective U. S. Congressman with a view to prevailing on them to endorse the War Claims Commission's recommendation."

The total amount involved, according to the Commission, is approximately \$7,500,000.

End of the Three-Ply Taxation

POR once the Americans in the Philippines have won a little victory,—or perhaps not so little.

Over a year and a half ago, in the August, 1951, issue.

this Journal said:

"Increasing protest is being voiced against the imposition by the Philippine Government, since the enactment in March of this year of Republic Act 601, of the 17% exchange tex on the remittances by Americans here of their income tex payments to the United States Government.

"As is well-known, Americans abroad pay a double income tax one to the government of the country in which they reside, and the other to the United States Government. This has always constituted a serious handicap to Americans and American corporations in foreign countries where they must compete with others who bear no such double burden. The burden is lessende only in part by the fact that the United States tax is paid only on so-called 'unearned' income,—that derived creatin maximum), and by the fact that the amount roots in more a taxes to the foreign government may be deducted from the United States tax.

"But now injury has been added to injury by the additional imposition of the 17% foreign exchange tax on these income tax remittances to the United States. Act No. 601 provides for certain exemptions, such as on remittances for a few staple foodstuffs and fertilizer, certain types of insurance spayments, etc., but the drafters of the law seem not to have thought of the need of exempting these tax remittances (or did they?), although it is clear enough that such remittances fall outside the type of remittances it is the avowed purpose of the law to lessen,—remittances for imports.

"Appeals for relief have been addressed to the Central Bank, but the Bank's reply has been:

the Bank's repty has been:

"'As Republic Act No. 601 does not provide for an exemption
of this nature, the said remittance is subject to the payment of the

exchange tax

"Yet while the interpretation of the law has been so strict in this connection, the Bank appears readily to have agreed to certain other exemptions such as, for instance, in the case of the purchase of foreign exchange by foreign embasies, legations, and consulates.

"But this latter concession would seem to offer a way out, as has already been suggested by Mr. E. A. Perkins in a letter to Ambassador Cowen. The American Embassy in Manila could be authorized by the United States Government to collect the tax locally from Americans here, on the lawfully established and supposedly guaranteed basis of 2 pesos to 1 dollar, which Act No. 601 has in effect converted into a ratio of 2.34 to 1...

"We have pointed out in the past that what is, in effect, a onesided devaluation of the pees, constitutes a most serious drain on every one in the Philippines because everyone has to pay around one-fifth more for everything that is imported from abroad; but for Americans the exchange tax additionally means the piling up of government taxes on them three-deep, contray to all reason and equity."

Thanks largely to Mr. Perkins' intelligent proposal and the indefatigable efforts of Mr. Amos G. Bellis and a number of others, and also to the interest taken in this matter by Ambassador Spruance, this inequity has now been corrected.

The Central Bank of the Philippines issued a "Memorandum to Authorized Agents," dated February 17, 1953, stating in part:

"Subject to the conditions stated below, Authorized Agents may acre from the U. S. Embassy in the Philippines and forward to the Exchange Control Department applications for exchange license to cover payment to the appropriate United States Director of Internal Revenue of U. S. income tax due from Philippine resident American citizens, for the purpose of effecting remittances thereof free from the 17% exchange tax..."