The compromise bill, No. 3116, provided for the extension of the life of the Act until the end of 1953, but compromised on the amount of the tax, specifying that 17% would continue to be levied until June 30, 1953, the tax to be reduced to 12-1/2% during the period from July 1. 1953, to December 31, 1953. It also included, among the exemptions, payments for agricultural machinery and implements and payments for outstanding obligations contracted prior to March 28, 1951, as in Bill No. 2322.

The Committee's compromise bill was further amended on the floor, most of the amendments being minor ones and the principal one being the elimination from the original Act of the highly objectionable requirement that the tax shall be collected even on the payments of exempted imports, for later refund by the Bank. The amended Act now simply states that the tax "shall not be collected" on such payments. Besides the inclusion among the exempted imports of "agricultural machineries and implements and their spare parts and accessories", also exempted are the "supplies and equipment purchased directly by or for the Armed Forces of the Philippines and the Civil Aeronautics Administration as certified by the corresponding Department heads"; also "spare parts, equipments, accessories of airplanes and vessels of Philippine register", with certain reservations. The proposed amendment exempting payments for outstanding obligations contracted prior to March 28, 1951, the proceeds of which were used for agricultural and industrial rehabilitation, was lost.

It will be seen that the gains made against the continued imposition of the exchange tax, in spite of all the effort made to obtain more important modifications, are not great. The reduction from 17% to 12-1/2% will apply to only the latter half of the year 1953. On the other hand, the life of the Act has been extended for less than a year beyond the originally specified period.

And completely blocked was the earlier proposal that the tax be increased from 17% to 25%! Republic Act No. 814, amending the original Foreign Exchange Tax Act No. 601, is not as bad as it might have been in view of all the circumstances.

However, the only eventuality, with respect to the exchange tax, to which industry and business and the people as a whole can look forward to with any optimism. is its total abolition come end of the year 1953.

Meanwhile, and for as long as this capital-trap may continue to exist, we must give up all hope of any large private foreign capital investment in local enterprise.

This past session of the Philippine Congress, both the Philippine Immigration Act of 1940 and the Alien Registration Act of 1950 were again Aliens amended.

"Fees" and The first was amended by Rethe Rule of Law public Act No. 749 which provides,

among other things, for an increase in the alien head tax from \$\bar{P}\$16.00 to \$\bar{P}\$25.00, this tax being payable by every alien over 16 years of age admitted to the Philippines. The Act also increases various fees, as (1) for executing an application for a passport-visa for a non-immigrant, from \$5.00 to \$10.00; (2) for an extension of a re-entry permit, from P10.00 to P20.00; (3) for executing an application for an immigration visa, from P5.00 to \$\mathbb{P}\$10.00; (4) for a petition for preference-quota status, from P10.00 to P40.00; (5) for a petition for a visa for a non-immigrant coming to pre-arranged employment, from \$20.00 to \$80.00. The Act furthermore provides that if an alien's certificate of residence has been lost, original fee, P50.00, the fee for a duplicate is P40.00; and while the fee for a re-entry permit is \$\mathbb{P}20.00\$, the fee for a duplicate re-entry permit is \$\mathbb{P}40.00\$. The fee for an extension of a temporary stay is P10.00 for every month of extension.

Even in the case of students, the Act provides that for every year, or fraction thereof, of a stay beyond two years, as a non-immigrant student, the fee is \$50.00 This list does not include many other fees which the Act leaves unchanged.

Republic Act No. 751, which amends the Alien Registration Act, increases the annual report fee for aliens from P0.50 in documentary stamps to P20.00 in documentary. stamps for all aliens 14 years of age or over, and from P0.50 to P10.00 for all aliens less than 14 years of age. The same Act increases the fee for a duplicateoriginal of a lost alien certificate of registration, original fee, P50.00, from P2.00 plus a 30-centavo documentary stamp, to P10.00.

The explanatory note prefixed to the original Bill stated.

"No less than \$2,000,000 will be collected annually in the form of alien annual report fees if the proposed rates are approved.'

This referred only to the revenues from the increase in the annual report fees, not to the increase in revenues expected from the increases in the other fees.

The sponsors of the two original bills were, in the one case, Representatives Macapagal, Allas, and Lacson, and, in the other, Representatives Macapagal, Cases, and Duran. Both were recommended for approval by the House Committee on Foreign Affairs, of which Representative Macapagal is the Chairman, and were duly approved, not only by the House, but by the Senate, and, finally, by President Quirino.

In the issue of this Journal for March, of this year, we published a list of government fees and other incidental expenses incurred by a hypothetical American, with a family who comes to take a position in the Philippines, say in a mining firm. In addition to the costs of the journey,railroad fare, hotel expenses, sea or air transportation, new clothing suitable to the Philippine climate, and the loss and expense usually entailed in breaking up a household and setting it up again many thousands of miles away, these fees and incidental expenses were, as of a few months

United States Passport

Husband	\$10.00 10.00 5.00 20.00	
	\$45.00	P 90.00
Philippines		
Husband		
Head Tax	P 16.00	
Alien Registration Certificate and	F0 00	
stamps Immigrant Residence Certificate and	50.30	
stamps	50.60	
12 photographs (not paid to Govern-	30.00	
ment)	7.00	
City Residence Certificate	.50	124.40
Wife Head Tax Alien Registration Certificate and stamps. Immigrant Residence Certificate and stamps. Yearneys. City Residence Certificate and control of the control of	16.00 50.30 50.60 7.00 .50	124.40
Child No. 1 (16 years old) Head Tax. Alien Registration Certificate and stamps. Immigrant Residence Certificate and stamps.	16.00 50.30 50.60	
12 photographs (not paid to Govern- ment)	7.00	123.90

Child No. 2 (14 years old) Alien Registration Certificate and		
stamps	50.30	
Immigrant Residence Certificate and stamps	50.60	
12 photographs (not paid to Govern- ment)	7.00	107.90
Child No. 3 (2 years old)		
Alien Registration Certificate and		
stamps	2.30	
3 photographs	3.50	5.80
Total		P 576.40

Under the two laws and recently amended, the fees and cidental expenses are as follows:

	\$ 85.00	
Petition for visa for non-immigrant com- ing to pre-arranged employment*	40.00	
2 passport visas for non immigrants	20.00	
pine Government	5.00	
Wife and 3 children (combined) Application for 2 passport visas—Philip-	10.00	
United States Passport Husband	\$10.00	
incidental expenses are as follows:		

\* According to Commissioner de la Cruz, only the head of the family pays this fee.

170 00

Philippines Husband		
Head Tax	P 25.00	
stamps	50.30	
ed employee) and stamps	50.60	
ment)	7.00 .50	
		P 133.40
Wife		
Head TaxAlien Registration Certificate and	25.00	
stamps	50.30	
employee) and stamps	50.60	
ment)	7.00	
City Residence Certificate	.50	133.40
Child No. 1 (16 years old)		
Head Tax	25.00	
stamps	50.30	•
employee) and stamps	50.60	
ment),	7.00	132.90
Child No. 2 (14 years old)		
Alien Registration Certificate and		
stamps	50.30	
employee) and stamps	50.60	
ment)	7.00	107.90
Child No. 3 (2 years old) Alien Registration Certificate	2.30	
3 photographs (not paid to Govern-	2.30	
ment)	3.50	5.80
Total		P 683.40

Before the recent amendments to the two pertinent laws, the total fees, etc. paid by such a small family amounted to P576.60; under the new amendments, the total fees, etc. amount to P683.40. This is just for the American and his little family to get into the country and to establish temporary residence and for him to take a position. Over and above this, he is, of course, subject to all the taxes, direct and indirect, which every head of a family has to pay.

In addition to the ordinary taxes, however, he had until the recent amendments, to pay an annual report fee

on his Alien Registration Certificate and those of his wife and all three children, of \$50 centavos, or a total of \$P2.50 for the five certificates. This did not amount to much in money, but was a great bother. Under the amendments, this 50-centavo fee has been increased to \$P10.00, or, for him, a total of \$P50.00 a year.

If we suppose that after a few years, the American wants to go home for a vacation, he meets with new exactions. He must get an emigration clearance certificate, fee P20.00, plus 60-centavo stamp for every member of his family if they all go,—P100.00 total. He must also get a re-entry permit in advance, fee P20.00, for every member of his family 14 years of age or over,—another P80.00. A re-entry permit, however, is only good for one year, and if he remains away longer, say because of an illness in the family, he has to make out a sworn application for an extension of the re-entry permits, which is P5.00 per application, or P20.00 for the four, and for the extended re-entry permits themselves, he is charged P20.03 each,—or a total of another P80.00.

This is still not all, for before he can leave the country he must get a tax clearance certificate, for each member of his family except the baby, at P15.00 each. He must also get a foreign funds control license to take home what money he has been able to save, and if, in spite of all, he has saved a few thousand pesos, the chances are that he will not be permitted to take more than a part of it.

Such is the bed of roses which the Philippine lawmakers have made for the aliens who live in the Philippines, among whom Americans are now included. And
as they contemplate their situation,—if they remain cool
enough to contemplate it, they wonder whether our lawmakers know the meaning of what is known in jurisprudence as the Rule of Law, as opposed to the Rule of Status,
and whether they know that the "movement of progressive
societies" is from the rule of status to the rule of law, as
only the latter can safeguard "that equality before the
law which is the opposite of arbitrary government."

Our law-makers, as a whole, seem to be of the opinion

that there is no limit to the rightful powers of the legislator and that "so long as all actions of the state are duly authorized by legislation", the Rule of Law is preserved, but this, declares an authority, "is completely to misconceive the meaning of the Rule of Law." He goes on:

"This rule has little to do with the question whether all actions of government are legal in the juridical sense. They may well be and yet not conform to the Rule of Law. By giving the government unlimited powers, the most arbitrary rule can be made legal, and in this way a democracy may set up the most complete despotism possible. It is possible to pursue a policy of ruthless discrimination against national minorities by the use of recognized instruments of economic policy land other measures! without ever infringing the letter of the statutory protection of minority rights.
"The Rule of Law implies limits to the scope of legislation; it

restricts it to the kind of general rules known as formal laws and excludes legislation aimed at particular people or at enabling anybody to use the coercive power of the state for the purpose of such discrimination. . . Such limitations of the powers of legislation imply the recognition of the inalienable rights of the individual, the inviolable rights of man. . . '(F. A. Hayek: "The Road to Serfdom.")

Disregard of the Rule of Law in legislating establishes a regime which "knows no other limit than that set by expediency" and which is therefore completely unethical. The aliens in the Philippines are being made to feel that they live under such a regime.

There was nothing even remotely approaching the situation in which aliens now find themselves during the years of American sovereignty and during the years of the Commonwealth. It came about during these last few years under the Republic.

AFTER the draft of this editorial had been prepared, the editor sent the included tables and several other pertinent paragraphs to Immigration Commissioner Vicente de la Cruz, with the following self-explanatory letter:

"We are considering the publication of an editorial on the new fees being charged in the Bureau of Immigration under the Immigration Act and the Alien Registration Act as recently amended. The editorial we have in mind would naturally be critical and we realize that it would probably not make pleasant reading for you (although, to be sure, you are not responsible for the laws it is your duty to execute). Nevertheless we feel that we may count on your assistance in avoiding errors of fact, as you would rightly object to them and we, ourselves, have no desire to make things appear worse than they are.

ourserves, mave no lesse to misset times appear work that they are.

"May we ask, therefore, that you be so kind as to go over the
attached few pages of the draft and check them for any possible error
and also for possible omissions? We would greatly appreciate your
assistance. It goes without saying that we do not have in mind to
criticize, directly, either your Bureau or yourself.

"Very sincerely yours, etc."

We were favored with the following very prompt and informative reply:

"Sir:
"This is to acknowledge receipt of your letter of July 25, 1952,
requesting correction of the draft of your proposed editorial on the new
immigration fees which were approved recently by Congress. It has

been duly corrected as requested.

"Your editorial will certainly place the question of increase in fees in a very unfavorable light. As you will mention in that article the cost of the photographs required for identification not paid to us, railroad fare, hotel expenses, see or air transportation, new clothing suitable to the Philippine climate and the loss and expense usually entailed in breaking up a household and setting it. The properties of the propert

"Incidentally, we wish to mention the fact that as of April 30,

uave	the lottowing indiliner of	attens in the	
(1)	Chinese		145,720
(2)	Americana		11.754
ζāS	Spaniarda		2,421
745	British		1.065
ζśś	Indiene		1.545
1388888E	Germans		511
ζīΣ	Belgians		288
(8)	Other nationalities		2,262
	Total		165,976

"Naturally, all of the 165,976 aliens are affected by the two amended acts of legislation. When we recommended the approval by Congress of our revised immigration fees, we had no intention to adversely affect any American, or any alien for that matter, but it was only our intention to follow the recommendation of the Bell Mission which came to the Philippines a few years ago recommending the increase of government income so as to meet the expenses of our Government due to our or the second of the seco

"For permanent residents no increase in immigration fees has been provided with the exception of the annual report fee which was increased from P0.50 to P10.00 in view of the importance of the service performed. The head tax was also increased from P16.00 to P25.00.

"With respect to aliens who came under pre-arranged employ-

ment, the following information is given:
"In 1946, only 2 came; 1947—173; 1948—207; 1949—196; 1950—
200; 1951—288; and 1952—160; or a total of 1,227 arrivals from 1946
to 1952. Practically all of these paid the old rate of fee of \$20,00.
Very few, therefore, came in even under the old rate. The increased
rate of \$80,00 for pre-arranged employees is applicable to all nations.

"Again we want to state that in recommending the increased rates, we were only guided by the recommendation of the Bell Mission as stated above, as we need funds for public education, public health, peace and order, economic development, and other necessary activities. Whatever increase is made out of the two amendatory legislations, will go, to help meet the expenses of the Government.

"It should not be forgotten that even in the United States which has plenty of government funds, its Congress has deemed it fit to increase the rate of taxation and fees; so is also the tendency of other

governments throughout the world.

"Very sincerely yours,
"VICENTE DE LA CRUZ
"Commissioner of Immigration."

In reply to this letter of the Commissioner, we should like only to observe, very respectfully, that a bureau of immigration is not generally organized as an entity charged with the function of collecting government revenues, as is a bureau of internal revenue or a bureau of customs. It seems to us that the distinction between government

ment taxes and government fees is being lost sight of. The costs of government are met by the levying of taxes, which are paid by everyone. Government fees are generally paid for certain services which directly benefit only those who pay the fees and these are generally just high enough to cover the cost of administration.

Republic Act No. 749, amending the Philippine Immigration Act of 1940, commented upon in another editorial

Alliens Gagged and Denied Right of Appeal to the Courts

in this issue of the Journal, besides increasing various fees charged by the Bureau of Immigration in connection with aliens entering and leaving the country, contains a number of provisions which im-

press us as not only extremely dangerous but as definitely unconstitutional.

One of these is the following:
"SEC. 7. Section forty of the same Act, is hereby amended to read

as follows:...
"(d) The Commissioner of Immigration may, in the exercise of his sound discretion, deny the release under bond of any detained alien who is the subject of exclusion or deportation proceedings, and the filing of any action before a court of justice seeking relief from any order, resolution, or decision rendered by immigration officials in constitution of the careful of the constitution of deportation proceedings shall not direct the Commissioner of Immigration of the power granted him under this section."

The explanatory note prefixed to the original Bill explained the purpose of this provision as follows:

"Under Section 7, it is stressed that the discretionary power of the Commissioner of Immigration to permit the release under bond of an alien subject of exclusion or deportation proceedings is not subject to review or interference by the courts..."

Can the right of appeal to the courts be thus denied to anyone, and can the authority of the courts be thus limited? We do not believe so.

There is another provision in the Act which gives broad scope to the most flagrant abuse, particularly in connection with the protection of the authority given the Commissioner of Immigration by the provision just referred to. It runs:

"SEC. 5. The following grounds for deportation are hereby added to, as paragraphs (14)... of, subsection (a) of section thirty-seven, of the same Act, as amended... to read as follows:

"(14) Any alien who makes a public and malicious or libelous inputation of a crime, or of a vice or defect, real or imaginary, or any act, omission, condition, status, or circumstance tending to cause dishonor, discredit, or contempt of the person of the President or Vice-President of the Philippines or the members of the Congress of the Philippines without prejudice to whatever action, civil or criminal, the offended party may file against said alien..."

This provision renders the Act a most dangerous gag law which can have no conceivable place among the laws of a democratic country such as the Philippines has for some considerable period of years been believed to be.

It is true that the provision applies "only to aliens", but it denies them the right even to protest against the very discriminations which this same Act, in its other provisions, heaps upon them. Are the rights of free speech and press under the Constitution to be reserved exclusively to Philippine nationals? If this should meet with general acquiescence among them, then they themselves will in time be inevitably stripped of these same rights, for they can not be preserved by those who fail to recognize the necessity of their universality. It is not possible to maintain democracy undemocratically. Those who undermine it surely dig a pit for themselves.

surely dig a pit for themselves.

The American "Mien and Sedition Acts".—"The troubles with France fouring the administration of John Adami, which for the moment had increased victory in the congressional elections of 1782-1799, was in the end to prove their undoing. Taking advantage of the war furore and the temporary weakering of the state of the season of the s