THE PHILOSOPHY OF SOME REFORMS INTRODUCED BY THE NEW CIVIL CODE

BY JORGE BOCOBO

I feel highly honored by this opportunity to speak on "The Philosophy of some Reforms introduced by the new Civil Code." I can discuss only a few of the innovations, for lack of time. Far be it from me to claim that the new Code is flawless. I wish merely to explain the reasons which moved the Code Commission in effecting the changes. Such reasons may or may not be cogent, in the opinion of some who study this new body of laws, but I am desirous that you should know what was in the mind of the Commission in proposing these reforms.

While it is true that every legislation should conform to the social conditions of the country and the character and culture of the people, it is no less true that new laws which may seem too advanced or may seem inadaptable to the present-day situations have an educational value. For example, when the Roman legions extended the sway of the Roman Empire all over Europe, and as the then unprogressive peoples of Europe accepted Roman culture, they at the same time received Roman law as part of that culture, and thereby after the lapse of centuries, enhanced and improved their way of life. It was in this way that Roman law influenced the civil law countries, such as France, Portugal, Spain, Italy, Belgium and Holland. Even the so-called common law of England is of Roman law origin, with the exception of the feudal tenure of land, according to Bryce in his "Lectures on Jurisprudence."

Therefore, some of the innovations in the Philippine Civil Code, if they seem strange to many members of the legal profession, should not be judged severely. Those adopted from abroad are a part of the legacy of civilization, and although they may be apparently too advanced, they are intended to influence the thinking of our people, with a view to social betterment and reform. Whether one follows the juristic school of natural law, led by Grotius, which has done so much for freedom, or is inclined toward the historic school which under Savigny and Puchta has strengthened the influence of the Roman law on modern legislation, it would be unwise to disregard the educational and regenerative function of law. As Prof. Ludwig Ennecerus of the University of Marburg has said: the supreme goal of law "is the unfolding of our entire culture, the perfection of the life of men in society and mankind. For such purpose, there is need of a fixed arrangement which would make it possible and would set in motion a useful, moral and economic development of all the people which would educate them to fulfill their duties." (Ennecerus, Civil Law, vol. I, p. 85)

Let me assure you that the Code Commission has intended to effect reforms moderately and gradually, avoiding as much as possible Again: as to property relations during marriage, two opposite redical charges.

radical changes. For instance, on the subject of abatement of public or private nuisance: in the United States and England, extrajudicial abatement of nuisance can be carried out without intervention of the authorities, but in the Philippine Civil Code there must be previous approval by the district health officer and the abatement must be executed with the assistance of the local police. (Arts. 704 and 706)

radical changes offered themselves as standard systems: the absolute separation of property as in the United States, and the absolute community, as in Portugal and Holland. The first reform seemed to have been urged by the modern education of the Filipino woman and her ancient significant role in the family, while the second change appeared to have been called for by the established custom among most Filipino families that the properties brought into or acquired during marriage are in actual practice merged. But the Commission chose the middle ground by continuing the old conjugal partnership but so modified as to protect the rights of the wife. Thus: the husband can no longer alienate or encumber the real property of the conjugal partnership without the wife's

THERE MUST BE REESTABLISHED THE GOLDEN BALANCE BETWEEN IDEALISM AND MATERIALISM*

BY VICE-PRESIDENT CARLOS P. GARCIA

It is with a feeling of pride and cheerfulness that upon invitation of my admired friend and comrade in the Senate, Sen. Vicente J. Francisco. I have come to join you in the rejoicing of your graduation



VICE PRESIDENT CARLOS P. GARCIA

and congratulate you for work well done and to wish you success in the practical life. These congratulations and best wishes, I want to extend to your beloved Alma Mater, the Francisco College, which in a brief span of a few years has risen to be one of the outstanding law colleges of the nation. No doubt, the quality of the instruction, the prestige of its founder as one of the legal luminaries of the Philippines, and the accomplishments of the products of this school in the field of practice, constitute the vital factors of the spectacular growth of your Alma Mater.

And now, my friends, as I see before me a handsome group of young men and young women trained and primed for the legal

This speech was delivered by Honorable Carlos P. Garcia, Vice President of the Philippines and Concurrently Secretary of Foreign Affairs on the occasion of The Commencement Exercises of The Francisco College on March 30, 1954.

consent (art. 166), and in case of abuse of powers of administration of the conjugal partnership by the husband, the courts, on petition of the wife, may provide for a receivership, or administration by the wife, or separation of property (art. 167). Moreover, the wife may, during the marriage, and within 10 years from the transaction, ask the courts for the annulment of any contract of the husband entered into without her consent, when such consent is necessary, or any act or contract of the husband which tends to defraud her or impair her interest in the conjugal partnership. (art. 173). With these safeguards, the Commission believed that the conjugal partnership system was the best, for the present at least. Of course, by agreement in the marriage settlements, the future spouses may adopt either the absolute separation or the absolute community of property.

A third example of the policy of moderate reforms refers to the sale with pacto de retro. In view of the grave abuse of this centract, which had become an instrument of greed, oppression and exploitation, our first impulse was to abolish pacto de retro entirely. However, we feared that the lender would demand an absolute conveyance of the land by the borrower, who would, out of compelling financial necessity have to yield. Therefore, the Code Commission also adopted a nearly dieground, by the repeal of the automatic consolidation of ownership in the vendee and by giving the vendor ample opportunity to repurchase the property (arts. 1606 and 1607)

TT

However, whenever the Commission saw the wisdom of introducing a radical change, this was done. In such cases, we felt no qualms because our nation, which is civilized and progressive, should share the precious heritage of culture of the world. Besides, even when there were no precedents elsewhere, but the Commission originally saw the rightfulness of a reform, that body did not hesitate to introduce the changes. As the Commission in its report said: "Law should not be static but vital and ever-growing. While there ought to be stability of the laws, they ought not to be so inflexible as to destroy their very essence, which is the supremacy of right. When there is delay of justice, it is truly said that justice is denied, a grave situation indeed, but graver still is the perpetuation of injustice by the law itself, for then the courts can do nothing but apply the law. How often the courts have deplored their melancholy task of applying a legal provision which they knew ran counter to reason and equity! The commission does not, of course, presume to claim that every reform suggested is unerringly the just rule or norm, but each proposed change is an expression of the Commission's best judgment as to what is right and fair."

III

Permit me now to set forth the reasons for some of the sweeping and radical changes. The provisions fall under five categories: (1) damages in case of intentional injury when the act, though not against positive law, is contrary to morals, good customs or public policy; (2) independent civil actions; (3) strengthening of democracy; (4) implementation of social justice; and (5) supremacy of equity and justice as against technicality and legalism.

On the first subject, art. 21 of the new Civil Code provides:

"Art. 21. Any person who wilfully causes loss or injury to another in a manner that is contrary to morals, good customs or public policy shall compensate the latter for the damage."

It will be noted that no positive law has been violated. But there are three requisites: (1) damage; (2) the act must have been wilfull; and (3) that it must be contrary to good morals, good customs or public policy."

This reform has been adopted, with certain modifications, from art. 826 of the German Civil Code. If no law of the State has been broken, why should the defendant be liable for damages? This innovation is justified by the Code Commission thus:

"In the last analysis, every good law draws its breath of life from morals, from those principles which are written with words of fire in the conscience of man. If this premise is admitted, then the proposed rule is a prudent earnest of justice in the face of the impossibility of enumerating, one by and business professions, as I see so many pairs of bright eyes sparkling with hope, and burning with enthusiasm, and I see by the mental eye so many thousands of the youth and flower of the land graduated in hundreds of schools and colleges throughout the country, I cannot help but be uplifted by the feeling that with such a great army of intellectuals, trained and specialized in different activities of human life, the march of the Philippines to new heights of achievements, to vaster fields of development, and to new depths of strength and power must be irresistible and irrepressible.

That is why hope has again flowered in my heart and in the midst of youth, its strange and magical alchemy restored to me at least momentarily the dreams, the visions, the idyllic hours of my youth. Thru contact with you, I hear the returning vagrant faith in youth knock at my heart. With you I seem to imbibe a new Elixir of life abounding in faith and hope and vision of a greater Philippines. With so many college graduates, with so many educated hands and hearts, we should be able to make the Philippine Republic the most enlightened, the most prosperous, the most progressive and the happiest democracy in the Far East. Indeed, we have a right to claim the honor to be the cultural metropolis of Southeast Asia.

My young friends, before you start in the thrilling adventure of life, as you sail farther and farther from the shores of theory into the oceanic vastness of practical life, as you more and more have to depend upon yourselves and draw from your own mental and spiritual reservoir to wrestle with the problems and difficulties of life, a few words of reminder may not be amiss. While your hope for success may be rosy, while your determination is aflame with the will to succeed and your enthusiasm ebullient with vitality and you feel invincible, yet you cannot indulge in the illusion that your diplomas will open to you with the least effort all the gateways leading to success. I would rather advise you to look at life realistically without in any way betraying your ideals. While you should hitch your wagon to the stars, never forget that you are walking on solid earth. While you should polarize your thoughts, your dreams, your emotions and your efforts to your idealism, you should never forget that you are dealing with hard earth-bound realities. You should be realistic enough to recognize that in the sea of life, there are currents and cross-currents. You should philosophically accept the fact that in this grand adventure of life you sometimes have to pass through the Sargasso Sea of doubt and hesitation. You have to navigate over malestroms of adversity. You have to face storms and tempests, and now and then you will sojourn on Calipso island where life is easy and soft to make you forget and to lull you into vicious inactivity or inertia.

But these warnings are not intended to paint a somber picture of the life ahead to discourage the young travelers of life. Rather, they are intended to spur you to action because these things, these hazards and these problems, are simply the tests and trials designed by Divine Providence to be overcome and to be surmounted before the reward of success is attained. It is one way of telling you that nobody can win success as a gift handed to him on a silver platter. You have to work for real success; you have to sow in energy and effort in thought and vision if you want to reap success in life. You should by now realize that you shall not win where you did not sow. Those of you who indulge in the illusion that you can win your battle in life by relying on your wealth, inherited or acquired, those of you who indulge in the illusion that such qualities as honor, integrity, courage, honesty, intellectual brilliance or moral strength can be purchased with money should start reexamining such ideas in the light of the rediscovery by science of the eternal, inalterable, inescapable and exact cosmic law of Cause and Effect.

This law, if I may superficially state it, commands that nothing exists in life, nothing happens in life without a cause. Nothing can intervene to prevent cause to produce its effect. There is nothing that man can make to avoid the consequences of his act. That is what Jesus Christ meant when he said in the parable of the Sower: "Thou shalt reap that which thou soweth." Thus, under this infallible and inexorable law of Cause and Ef-

one, all wrongs which cause damage. When it is reflected that while codes of law and statutes have changed from age to age, the conscience of man has remained fixed to its ancient moorings, one can not but feel that it is safe and salutary to transmute, as far as may be, moral norms into legal rules, thus imparting to every legal system that enduring quality which ought to be one of its superlative attributes."

The origin of this principle is the doctrine advocated by some German jurists, such as Adickes, Bullow, Menger, Erlich, Wurzel, and Kantsrowicz. These legal philosophers give the judge the widest freedom to follow his reason and conscience, so long as he does not act contrary to positive law. According to Adickes, the value of positive law is only as a limit beyond which the judge can not go in arriving at his decision, which is the fruit of his own reason applied to the relations of life, provided it is not opposed to positive law.

The reform effected in said art. 21 of the new Civil Code is not at all strange if we bear in mind these words of Prof. Clemente De Diego: "La idea de la justicia es el brote de todas las manifestaciones del Derecho, como la belleza lo es de todas las artisticas, la verdad de todas las científicas y el bien de todas las eticas." (Fuentes del Derecho Civil Español, p. 155)

Art. 21 may also be justified by these words of Eugen Huber, author of the Swiss Civil Code of 1907: "Moral law has in law such a penetrating and valuable significance that we can not speak of positive law without referring to moral law. The moral law and the law of the State have the same object and purpose, and together they govern human aims and conduct, which constitute human society itself. x x x Human community is the field in which morality and law act as imminent ideas in our rational conscience x x x. It is equally possible to consider morality as included in law and to consider law as included in morality." (Law and Its Realization, Vol. I, pp. 41-42). Later on he says that the essence of modern culture "is the coincidence of the law with the moral law." (p. 79).

The effect of the innovation in art. 21 is to give relief for every intentional wrong which causes damage, even if no statute has been violated. The Code Commission in its Report gives this example to illustrate art. 21: "A" seduces a 19-year old daughter of "X". A promise of marriage either has not been made or can not be proved. The girl becomes pregnant. But there is no crime, as the girl is above 18 years of age. Neither can any civil action for breach of promise of marriage be filed. However, under the new Civil Code, she and her parents may bring a civil action for damages.

As for public policy, this is not found in the source, art. 826 of the German Civil Code. But public policy was added in Art. 21 of the Philippine Civil Code because it is of supreme concern in any country. If a man in defiance of a declared policy of the State causes loss or damage to another, he (the former) should pay indemnity, though his act is not contrary to a statute. Let us take the public policy of social justice, which is consecrated in the Constitution. If a rich man, by means of a legal technicality discovered by his lawyer, exploits a poor man without violating the law, the victim, according to art. 21, may demand damages.

IV.

The second reform, which creates independent civil actions, departs from well-established ideas in the Philippines. Some of these civil actions are similar to the Anglo-American institution called "tort." Others are of a different character, which will be explained later. This civil action is separate and independent from any criminal action. Here are some cases similar to "tort."

(1) Art. 33, authorizing an independent civil action for defamation, fraud or physical injuries. These actions correspond, respectively, to the Anglo-American torts called libel or slander, deceit and assault and battery.

(2) Art. 32, which creates a civil action, separate and distinct from the criminal action, in case of violation of individual liberties, guaranteed by the Constitution, such as freedom of religion, speech, and of the press, freedom from illegal detention, freedom from unreasonable searches, freedom of suffrage, etc.

(3) Art. 26, which establishes a separate civil action to protect one's privacy and private life, etc.

(4) Art. 27, which gives a right of independent civil action

THERE MUST BE . . .

fect, nobody can do wrong without getting ultimately the retribution for his wrong act. Nobody who does what is right, what is just and what is kind will ever fail to receive the reward for such good acts. In the light of this law, he who in his laziness, weakness, frivolity, or thoughtlessness does nothing will receive nothing. Each will harvest the kind, the quality and the quantity of that which he sows. Seen with the eye of the spirit, you will find that this law is a complete manifestation of the Infinite Justice and love and wisdom of Divine Providence. Obedience to this law is the secret of all successful and truly great men in all times and climes, and disobedience thereto is the explanation of all failures.

So, the key to your success lies in yourself because deep in every man's conscience, whether he is educated or not, God placed the knowledge and the conscienciousness of that which is good and that which is bad, of that which is right and that which is wrong. He placed in every man's conscience; in other words the consciousness of the law of Cause and Effect. Divine Providence has also endowed every man with freedom of will. This freedom man can exercise to do either that which his conscience tells him is good or to do that which his conscience tells him is bad. Man being a free agent in the exercise of his freedom of will must therefore, be held responsible for his choice. So, man is the master of his own destiny under this Divine Law of Cause and Effect, and this is the wonderful thing that you have. You are masters of your own destiny.

Some people try to blame others for any misfortune, bad luck or failure that befall upon them. Some men who lack faith in the infinite justice of God come to the hasty decision that if he can make a million by committing one or two acts of dishonesty or injustice, it is worth it. Some men become cynical and say "what is the use of honor and integrity and honesty and for that matter all the virtues exalted by the moral code if after all you starve and languish in misery and penury. Make me a millionaire and I do not care what the world thinks of me."

This is an evidence of man's blindness and ignorance of the law. This kind of thinking has made the world grossly materialistic. This kind of thinking made the world forget the idealism in whose infinite womb were created the wondrous things of beauty, the worthy dwelling of truth. This materialistic philosophy of life of putting money above everything perhaps has multiplied the material riches of the world. It may have built great and massive buildings and palaces, great industrial plants, irrigation systems, gigantic transportation companies, etc., but it has not, in my humble view, increased the happiness of humanity. This sordid materialism has produced more greed and concupiscence. It has corrupted governments and administrations, prostituted the administration of justice, and swelled criminality. It has caused moral disintegration in almost all countries. It resulted in devastating wars among rich and powerful nations; it has destroyed great and magnificent monuments of art and culture for the mad desire for wealth and power. It has thrown the world into chaos, conflicts, and turmoils for the mad desire of the rich and powerful men and nations to monopolize the trade, the natural resources and the markets and the power potentials of the earth. In short, this materialistic philosophy in its mad desire to amass the happiness of the world has only succeeded to create and multiply the inhappiness of humanity.

My message to the graduates of the Francisco College, therefore, is that the time has come for a change. The time has come to restore idealism to its proper place in the scheme of life. I call upon all graduates, nay, upon all institutions of learning to spearhead our fight back to idealism. There must be reestablished the golden balance between idealism and materialism. The happiness of humanity lies in the golden mean between materialism and idealism. You cannot overemphasize the one at the expense of the other without upsetting the natural order of things. The reality of life, in my humble opinion, is an algebraic equation, in which consist the materialistic and the idealistic sides must be balanced.

Yes, money can buy you bread and meat for the body, but it cannot buy the spiritual stream of thoughts and emotions that flow in the human soul. Money may build great and proud buildings and

THE PHILOSOPHY . . .

against a public servant or employee who refuses or neglects, without just cause, to perform his official duty.

(5) Lastly, art. 34 which creates a civil suit against a policeman who refuses or fails to render aid to any person in case of danger to life or property.

In all the five foregoing cases, the act is intentional and therefore a criminal prosecution might be instituted under certain circumstances. But a civil action may be brought independently, even after the accused has in the criminal case been acquitted. The new Civil Code thus upsets the doctrine of our Supreme Court in the leading case of Almeida v. Abaraa, 8 Phil. 178 decided in 1907, which held that acquittal in a criminal case bars every civil action for damages.

There are powerful reasons why an independent civil action should be allowed in the five instances mentioned. Here are some:

First, conviction in a criminal case requires proof beyond reasonable doubt, while in a civil case, preponderance of evidence is enough on which to base judgment for the plaintiff. There have been countless cases where the accused in a criminal case has been acquitted, because of reasonable doubt, although a preponderance of evidence showed that the act had been committed by the accused In such cases, there has been a gross miscarriage of justice, because under the old law the aggrieved party was precluded from subsequently suing for damages in a civil case.

Secondly, not infrequently, the Fiscal under political pressure or other undue influence, would not start criminal proceedings. Or he might have been too busy with other cases. So the new Code assures the injured person an opportunity to prove his case by a preponderance of evidence in a civil case, and thus obtain relief.

Thirdly, our people have been habituated to rely on the public prosecutor to obtain justice. This has smothered civic spirit. self-reliance and individual initiative. One of the sources of strength of democracy in England and America is that the citizens have been accustomed to resort to civil actions for tort, such as assault and battery, false imprisonment, slander, deceit, and other intentional wrongs. Similarly we should educate our people to vindicate their rights in a civil rather than in a criminal action, and thus assert their individual rights, so they do not have to depend on the Fiscal.

Thus far we have discussed civil actions where the defendant acted intentionally. But there is another independent civil action, called quasi-delict in the new Civil Code, based on defendant's negligence. It is the Anglo-American tort for negligence. It is also the old civil action for fault or negligence under arts. 1902 and 1903 of the former Civil Code. The new Code in art. 2177 incorporates the doctrine laid down by the Philippine Supreme Court in Barredo v. Garcia and Almario, 73 Phil. 607, decided in 1942. Said art. 2177 provides:

"Art. 2177. Responsibility for fault or negligence under the preceding article is entirely separate and distinct from the civil liability arising from negligence under the Penal Code. But the plaintiff cannot recover damages twice for the same act or omission of the defendant."

This Philippine decision cited Maura and other jurists, as well as the Sentence of the Supreme Tribunal of Spain of October 21, 1910, where the court held the defendant liable for damages under arts. 1902 and 1903 of the Spanish Civil Code, for the death of Izquierdo, due to defendant's negligence, although there had been a previous acquittal in a criminal prosecution. It will be seen that on this question the Spanish Supreme Tribunal was ahead of our highest court by at least 32 years. Other Spanish decisions that might be added are the Sentences of Nov. 13, 1934, and Feb. 4 1943.

The Code Commission, in embodying in the new Civil Code the principle enunciated in Barredo v. Garcia and Almario, was moved by the same reasons already set forth concerning intentional wrongs.

Next, I wish to discuss two civil actions created by the new Civil Code. They are found in arts. 29 and 35.

Art. 29 provides:

"Art. 29. When the accused in a criminal prosecution is

THERE MUST BE . . .

magnificent palaces, but it cannot furnish the genius of the architects nor supply the rhythm and the symmetry of beauty. These are things of the soul. Money can build the Vatican, the White House or the palace of Versailles, but it cannot furnish the brains, the talent and the vision of the great popes and presidents that guided the destiny of nations. These again are things of the spirit. Money can buy the machinery, the equipments and the gadgets for gigantic industrial or commercial organizations but it cannot give the executive ability, the leadership and the dynamism of the men that run them. These are things of the soul. Money, considered by the materialists as omnipotent, has not the power to produce a single petal of the lily that blooms in your garden; it cannot create a single streak of the symphony of colors of a magnificent sunset or a gorgeous sunrise. It cannot create the inspiration of a Shakespeare, the supreme sacrifices of a Rizal or the great thoughts of a Mabini. Money can build magnificent galleries and great museums but it is impotent to produce the "touch of Eternity" of DaVinci, Michael Angelo, Luna or Amorsolo. Money is impotent to produce the genius of Einsteine, Eddison and Marconni. These are things of the spirit, consigned by the all-wise Creator to the sacred vaults of the ideal realm.

Thus, such ideal things as honor, truths, justice, nonesty, integrity, love, faith and hope are the stuff of which idealism is made. Their dynamism is infinite, their vitality is eternal. They are the qualities of character which should be our constant endeavor to acquire as part of ourselves. These are the things that really contribute to man's happiness even greatness. These are the enduring things that no thief or robber can steal or rob from you. These are the things that will last long after millionaires shall have been forgotten, long after industrial and commercial empires shall have crumbled into "the tongueless silence of the dreamless dust," long after mighty men shall have returned to common clay.

As a parting thought, young friends of the graduating classes, let me return to our country. I have expressed a fervent hope in the beginning of my remarks that by the power of your education, you can make the Philippines the most enlightened, the most prosperous and the happiest democracy in the Far East. Your success is the success of our nation; your happiness is the happiness of our native land. You have, therefore, a stake in our Republic in the same way that our country has a stake in you. The prestige of your Alma Mater, the pride of your school, is involved in every act of yours as professionals. You would want, therefore, to build a character where idealism and materialism are established in a golden balance upon which you will build your mansion of success. You will not forget that talent without character is like the beauty of a woman without virtue, one element more for prostitution. You will not forget that the most precious gift that you can give to your country and the best legacy that you can leave to the generations and generations of Filipinos yet sieeping in the womb of Time is a good character. The strongest rampart of freedom, the impregnable bulwark of justice and the fountainhead of invincible nationalism is the strong noble character of the people. I thank you.

NOTICE

Notice is hereby given to subscribers and the public that effective May 1st, 1954, ANTONIO VILLERO is no longer connected with the Lawyers Journal in any capacity whatsoever and the Lawyers Journal will not be responsible for any transaction made with him.

acquitted on the ground that his guilt has not been proved beyond reasonable doubt, a civil action for damages for the same act or omission may be instituted. Such action requires only a preponderance of evidence. Upon motion of the defendant, the court may require the plaintiff to file a bond to answer for damages in case the complaint should be found to be malicious.

"If in a criminal case the judgment of acquittal is based upon reasonable doubt, the court shall so declare. In the absence of any declaration to that effect, it may be inferred from the text of the decision whether or not the acquittal is due to that ground."

This article does not refer to cases of independent civil actions already considered, such as arts. 33, 27, etc. This provision (art. 29) covers most crimes, such as roberry, theft, arson, murder, rape, seduction, etc. There have been innumerable trials for these crimes, wherein the government failed to prove the crime beyond reasonable doubt, so the accused was acquitted. Before the new Civil Code, this acquittal closed the case definitely, but since the new Code went into effect, the aggrieved party may bring a civil action for damages, in which he may prove the act by a preponderance of This art. 29 prevents injustice brought about by the rule that a crime must be proved beyond reasonable doubt. The new provision is fair, because proof beyond reasonable doubt should be only for the purpose of sending the accused to prison, but why should the plaintiff be deprived of indemnity when he can show the act by a preponderance of evidence? But I am afraid the legal profession has not yet learned to make use of this article.

Another innovation that should be resorted to by the legal profession is found in art. 35, which provides:

"Art. 35. When a person, claiming to be injured by a criminal offense, charges another with the same, for which no independent civil action is granted in this Code or any special law, but the justice of the peace finds no reasonable grounds to believe that a crime has been committed, or the prosecuting attorney refuses or fails to institute criminal proceedings, the complainant may bring a civil action for damages against the alleged offender. Such civil action may be supported by a preponderance of evidence. Upon the defendant's motion, the court may require the plaintiff to file a bond to indemnify the defendant in case the complaint should be found to be malicious."

Very often the justice of the peace finds no reasonable grounds to believe that a crime has been committed, or the Fiscal refuses or fails to institute criminal proceedings. But the justice of the peace or Fiscal may be mistaken in weighing the evidence, or he may be under political pressure, or he may be acting under improper motives. Why should the aggrieved party be denied justice through the fault of the justice of the peace or the prosecuting attorney? All that the injured party wants is indemnity, so he should be allowed to bring a civil action and prove his case by a preponderance of evidence. Art. 35 authorizes him to bring such civil action. The bond referred to forestalls groundless civil suits.

V

I come now to two of the new provisions designed to strengthen democracy.

First, there is art. 358 which provides:

"Art. 358. Every parent and every person holding substitute parental authority shall see to it that the rights of the child are respected and his duties complied with, and shall particularly, by precept and example, imbue the child with high mindedness, love of country, veneration for the national heroes, fidelity to democracy as a way of life, and attachment to the ideal of permanent world peace."

All parents, teachers and professors of minors in public and private schools, colleges and universities are thus obliged to teach their pupils and students "love of country, veneration for the national heroes, fidelity to democracy as a way of life, and attachment to the ideal of permanent world peace."

I am afraid this legislative mandate is not being adequately implemented. There should be in all public and private schools,

colleges and universities a special and separate course on democracy that must be taken by every student under 21 years. In such a course, great stress should be laid on the advantages of democracy as against Communism, Fascism, and every form of totalitarian regime. In the fight against Communism, our complacency is fatal, because we have o face the impassioned and vehement zeal of Communist adherents. There is need of kindling in the hearts of our people, especially of the youth, the fire of devotion to democracy. This can not be one by generalities. We must thoroughly teach the virtues of reedom and democracy.

In the same course on democracy, our struggles for freedom specially since the time of Padre Burgos, should also be presented, ogether with the lives and teachings of our national heroes. It s shocking that only very few university graduates are thoroughly equainted with the writings of Rizal, though they constitute an ssential part of our patriotic gospel. Neither are the writings of Burges, Marcelo H. del Pilar, Lopez Jaena, Antonio Luna, Mabini, and other patriots known to many. It was realization of these sad facts that art. 358 of the new Civil Code has been drawn up. It is intended thereby that parents, teachers and professors hould feel the solemn responsibility of transmitting to the youth our sacred heritage of freedom and love of country. It is alarming to contemplate the sad and tragic spectacle of indifference toward he history of our people's fight for freedom. This apathy threatens o extinguish the torch of liberty, instead of our handing it with reater glow and radiance, to the new generation.

Another provision intended to fortify democracy is art. 32 which rdains:

"Art. 32. Any public officer or employee, or any private individual, who directly or indirectly obstructs, defeats, violates or in any manner impedes or impairs any of the following rights and liberties of another person shall be liable to the latter for damages:

- (1) Freedom of religion;
- (2) Freedom of speech;
- (3) Freedom to write for the press or to maintain a periodical publication;
 - (4) Freedom from arbitrary or illegal detention;
 - (5) Freedom of suffrage;
- (6) The right against deprivation of property without due process of law;
- (7) The right to a just compensation when private property is taken for public use;
 - (8) The right to the equal protection of the laws;
- (9) The right to be secure in one's person, house, papers, and effects against unreasonable searches and seizures;
 - (10) The liberty of abode and of changing the same;
 - (11) The privacy of communication and correspondence;
- (12) The right to become a member of associations or societies for purposes not contrary to law;
- (13) The right to take part in a peaceable assembly to petition the Government for redress of grievances;
- (14) The right to be free from involuntary servitude in any form:
 - (15) The right of the accused against excessive bail;
- (16) The right of the accused to be heard by himself and counsel, to be informed of the nature and cause of the accusation against him, to have a speedy and public trial, to meet the witnesses face to face, and to have compulsory process to secure the attendance of witness in his behalf;
- (17) Freedom from being compelled to be a witness against one's self, or from being induced by a promise of immunity or reward to make such confession, except when the person confessing becomes a State witness;
- (18) Freedom from excessive fines, or cruel and unusual punishment, unless the same is imposed or inflicted in accordance with a statute which has not been judicially declared unconstitutional; and
 - (19) Freedom of access to the courts.

"In any of the cases referred to in this article, whether

or not the defendant's act or omission constitutes a criminal offense, the aggrieved party has a right to commence an entirely separate and distinct civil action for damages, and for other relief. Such civil action shall proceed independently of any criminal prosecution (if the latter be instituted), and may be proved by a preponderance of evidence.

"The indemnity shall include moral damages. Exemplary

damages may also be adjudicated.

"The responsibility herein set forth is not demandable from a judge unless his act or omission constitutes a violation of the Penal Code or other penal statute."

The purpose of this article is to cultivate in our citzens an undaunted determination to guard their liberties guaranteed by the Constitution, without depending on the Fiscal. I have already said something on this point. But allow me to claborate. In the heat of an election campaign, there are illegal detentions, unreasonable searches, prohibitions of political rallies, terroristic acts to prevent voting, and other abuses by order of public officials. Too often the Fiscal is under pressure, so he cannot file the complaint. It is thus necessary to give the aggrieved party the right to bring a civil action for damages. Our citizens should learn to make use of this right of action, not only to obtain indemnity, but also to help build up general respect for individual liberties.

VI

I come now to the provisions implementing social justice, which is a fundamental policy under the Constitution. One of the pillars of our Republic is equality before the law. Accordingly, the new Civil Code tries to lessen the danger of a situation in which, according to Lord Bacon, laws are like cobwebs," where the small flies are caught, and the great break through.

Art. 24 provides:

"Art. 24. In all contractual, property or other relations, when one of the parties is at a disadvantage on account of his moral dependence, ignorance, indigence, mental weakness, tender age or other handicap, the courts must be vigilant for his protection."

Examples where this article should be applied are: questions arising from contracts of rice tenancy, where many landlords try to exploit the tenant, and cases of usury.

Then we have arts. 1700 to 1703 which are self-explanatory. "Art. 1700. The relations between capital and labor are not merely contractual. They are so impressed with public interest contracts must yield to the common good. Therefore, such contracts are subject to the special laws on labor unions, collective bargaining, strikes and lockouts, closed shop, wages working conditions, hours of labor and similar subjects."

"Art. 1701. Neither capital nor labor shall act oppressively against the other, or impair the interest or convenience of the public.

"Art. 1702. In case of doubt, all labor legislation and all labor contracts shall be construed in favor of the safety and decent living for the laborer.

"Art. 1703. No contract which pratically amount to involuntary servitude, under any guise whatsoever, shall be valid."

Then, let me refer to arts. 1689-1699, intended to protect househelpers. The new Code requires the family head to furnish the househelper, free of charge, "suitable and sanitary quarters as well as adequate food and medical attendance" (art. 1690); if the househelper is under 18, he must be given an opportunity for at least elementary education (art. 1691); he shall not be required to work more than 10 hours a day, and he must be allowed 4 days' vacation a month with pay (art. 1695); there are other provisions in favor of househelpers. But this entire set of rules have not been enforced by the government. I respectfully invite the attention of the new administration to this grave situation. I say it is grave because every country is judged by the way it treats the poorest class. The legal profession, which stands for the supremacy and enforcement of the law, should also earnestly attend to this matter.

The main spring of the principle of social justice is to remove man's inhumanity to man. All sound and just legislation must be

based on love of mankind. Often we lament with the poet Thernbury, "In a thousand pounds of law I find not a single ounce of love."

VII

Finally, permit me to discuss one of the most far-reaching reforms introduced by the new Civil Code. It is the adoption of provisions tending to uphold the supremacy of equity and justice against technicality and legalism. The new Code does its utmost to solve the age-old problem of justice and right as against injustice and wrong shielded by technicality and the letter of the law. The legal profession has been largely to blame for the perpetuation of technicality. It is strange and lamentable fact that equity as a system, as a separate body of rules, has not developed in Spain, as it has grown in Engand and the United States. This is indeed strange because Spanish law is a direct descendant of Roman law, where equity originated, while English law, which, though essentially based upon the Roman system - is further removed from it than Spanish law. The pronouncements by the praetor, who drew principles from the jus gentium and other sources, took away the injustices and softened the rigors of the Roman civil law. It was thus that the pretorian edicts became a body of equitable rules. A similar history took place later in England. Whenever the English common law resulted in an injustice, the English subjects complained to the King, who entrusted his Chancellor with the task of finding a rightful and just solution, disregarding the old English common law. This was why the Chancelfor became known as the "keeper of the king's conscience." English equity jurisprudence was then transplanted to the United States.

But unfortunately, no such course of events took place in Spain. Hence, technicality and legalism have been more frequent in Spanish law than in Anglo-American law. This is manifest in the Spanish Civil Code. Spanish courts and writers have been helpless before the hard-and-fast and inflexible rules of the Spanish laws. So the Code Commission introduced many principles of equity jurisprudence found in the English and American system. Let me name some of them:

- 1. Reformation of instruments. Arts. 1359-1369.
- 2. Quieting of title. Arts. 476-481.
- Implied trust. Arts. 1447-1457.
- Recovery upon substantial performance of a contract. Art. 1234.
- 5. Recovery in case of unjust enrichment. Art. 22.
- Reduction of contractual penalty if it is iniquitious or unconscionable. Art. 1229.

By the elimination of technicality, the new Code intends to avoid injustice which is brought about by what Shakespeare called "the nice sharp quillets of the law."

In conclusion, the Civil Code is the first endeavor, under the Philippine Republic, to codify private substantive law. With all its defects, as every human effort, it may in all modesty be claimed to be an improvement on the Spanish Civil Code. Perhaps it could have been prepared much better, but this has been said of the French, Italian, Argentinian and other civil codes; the same can be said even of the comparatively recent German and Swiss Civil Codes, though these two are thought by many to be among the very best in the world. I hope that in the course of the years, through the noble open-mindedness of the legal profession, the philosophy of the reforms introduced by the new Civil Code will be better understood. Then, I make bold to say perhaps its role as a transmitter and transmuter of the heritage of Roman civil law and English common law, and as an interpreter of our nation's aspirations for freedom and justice, will be more clearly discerned. Thank you.

The objective of a legal education is primarily to train the student to meet and solve the problems which constantly confront the lawyer and the judge. This requires of him a capacity to think hard and straight, a settled determination to accept the ipso dixit of no man or group of men, the ability to make a searching analysis of a complicated state of facts which will disclose the legal problem involved therein, a resourceful imagination to discover possible solutions, the patience to investigate their validity and practicability, and the courage to form and act upon his own considered judgment.