BEFORE BATAAN AND AFTER. By Frederic S. Marquardt. Indianapolis, Bohhs-Merrill Company, 1943, 315 pp.

W HEN Japan invaded the Philippines, something happened which was unparalleled in the Orient and in the history of colonial government. While the subject peoples of the neighboring countries did not fight nor resist the invader, in the Philippines the Filipinos rose as one man in defense of American sovereignty and the American flag, and fought the enemy to the bitter end in what is now known as the epic of Bataan. Many reasons have been advanced for this record performance. In fighting for the American flag, the Filipinos were also fighting for their own country and their own freedom. They were loyal to America because America had won their undying friendship and affection. But this friendship certainly did not mushroom overnight. Behind it is a long story-a unique experiment in human relationships and government collaboration untried elsewhere in the history of the world. Before Bataan and After tells that story from a personalized viewpoint. In its fine presentation of Philippine-American relationships, it explains the epic of Bataan.

Mr. Marquardt's thesis is that the American experiment in the Philippines paid high dividends when the final test came in Bataan. Only an author with a fine perspective of contemporary Philippine history could have immediately sensed such significance in the Philippine campaign. Mr. Marquardt was born in the Philippines; his parents were among the first American teachers to inaugurate the socalled Philippine experiment. As pioneer teachers, the older Marquardts came on the Sheridan, arriving in the Philippines two months ahead of the famous Thomas, considered the Mayflower of American teachers in the Philippines, which reached Manila on August 23, 1901. Young Marquardt spent his boyhood in the Philippines, got his education in this country, and returned to the islands to make his home. From 1928 to 1941 he was associate editor of the Philippines Free Press, a position which gave him excellent opportunities to view from the front seat the kaleidoscope of events in the Philippines and

co mmingle with the participants both high and low. Luckily, when the war broke out, he was on vacation in this co untry.

Of course, in any personalized account such as this, extemding over four decades of contemporary history, involvin g a number of personalities, many of whom are still living, and written at a considerable and forced distance fr-om the setting where the basic materials are to be found, a number of slips are likely to be made, obviously not because of ill intentions but because of natural limitations stach as the difficulty of getting access to all the facts, particularly at a time like the present. Thus, it has come to may attention recently that among other things, it should be noted that Teodoro Kalaw was the third member on Quezon's trip to Russia, not Ramon Avanceña (page 71); L jeutenant Miller, commander of the garrison at Mariveiles, received Quezon's surrender not Roy Squires (page 2 11). Elsewhere some statements assume the form of w hat properly may be called versions. They naturally call for the other side of the story before they can be accepted a= final. Thus, for example Justice Frank Murphy the hast governor-general and the first high commissioner, is p ictured as having been badly lambasted by Americans end Filipinos alike when he left the Philippines (pages 1.80-181). While it is true that he had detractors, the F dipino people through their leaders have never lost faith im him and they still regard him with respect and affection a nd as one of the best friends they have ever had. Then, to in the matter of civilian defense for the Philippines. President Quezon is shown as having wanted to leave the seponsibility to High Commissioner Sarre (page 219). Other sidelights of the story, however, would point to the o ther direction. President Quezon was for organizing c svilian defense, and his insistence on this point, as the a uthor correctly surmises, brought about one of those occ asions where the charge of dictatorship was levelled a gainst him. These details, however, do not detract at all f rom the intrinsic value of the book which remains so f ar the best explanation of what happened on Bataan.

Projecting the Philippine experiment as a pattern in postwar planning for the rehabilitation of all colonial possessions in the Pacific, though likely to meet with opposit ion in its practical details, should nevertheless merit serious consideration, especially at this time when human walues, above all things, are at a premium. ---S.V.A.

## Selected Current Literature

## **Books and Pamphlets**

1. The People's Peace; by representatives of the United Nations. New York, George W. Stewart, Publisher, Inc., c1943. 271 pp. \$2.50. (The Philippines is represented by a statement from President Quezon and an address by Resident Commissioner Elizalde on "The Meaning of a Pacific Charter".)

2. Philippines (Commonwealth). Commission of the Census. Census of the Philippines: 1939. Volume IV-Reports for Economic Census. Washington, D. C., 1943, 678 pp.

\$6.00 postpaid.

3. United Nations Information Office, N. Y. War and Peace Aims; extracts from statements of United Nations leaders. New York, U.N.I.O., 1943. 136 pp. 25c.

## Periodical Literature

1. American Library Association. The United Nations; a bibliography. The Booklist, June 1943, v. 39, no. 18, part II, pp. 398-417.

2. Keyne-Gordon, Philip. The Lesson of Corregidor. (Comdensed from a broadcast over the Mutual Broadcasting System). Newsdigest, June 15, 1943, v. 2, no. 9, pp. 77-78.

 Osmeña, Sergio. The United States (should be "United Nations") and the Philippines. (Speech at the annual meeting of the American Academy of Political and Social Science in Philadelphia, on April 10, 1943.) The United Nations Review, May 15, 1943, v. 3, pp. 211-212.

4. Porter, Catherine. Japan's Blue-print for the Philippines. Far Eastern Survey, May 31, 1943, v. 12, no. 11, pp. 109-112.

 Quezon, Manuel L. The Pacific Situation. (Statement issued on April 15, 1942) The United Nations Review, May 15, 1943, v. 3, pp. 210-211.